UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,662	03/01/2004	David J. Chaplin	OXGN-019.US1	9569	
75605 OXIGENE, INC	7590 03/01/201 C.	1	EXAMINER		
C/O LAW OFFICES OF KAREN E. FLICK			HUI, SAN MING R		
P.O. BOX 515 EL GRANDA,	CA 94018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/01/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,662	CHAPLIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	San-ming Hui	1628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioc - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: .136(a). In no event, however, may a lid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Ali	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commus BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 (</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	·	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 4,10,12-16,34-42,57 and 58 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4,10,12-16,34-39,41,42,57 and 58 is 7) ☐ Claim(s) 40 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. s/are rejected.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/8/2010 has been entered.

Claims 4, 10, 12-16, 34-42, 57, and 58 are pending.

The outstanding rejection under 35 USC 112 is withdrawn in view of the amendments filed 10/8/2010.

The outstanding rejection under 35 USC 102(b) over Blum is withdrawn in view of the amendments filed 10/8/2010.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4, 10, 12-16, 34-39, 41, 42, 57, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,409,953 ('953).

Art Unit: 1628

'953 teaches combretastatin compounds with -OCH3 or -OH in the herein recited position (See for example the abstract). For example, in the compound of Formula (I), R1 and R2 are methoxy, R4 is -OH, and R3 is -H. Such compound meets the limitations of the instant claims and such compound is not combretastatin A-1 or its prodrug or its esters.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 34-39, 41-42, and 58 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,919,324 ('324). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because '324 teaches a small genus of

compounds that encompasses the prodrug of the herein claimed compounds (See especially claim 1). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ any of the '324 compounds, including the one recited herein, in order to use them to treat cancer.

New ground of rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14, 15, 38, 39, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 14 and 15 recite the moiety "R8" can be -OH. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 38, 39, 41, and 42 recite the moiety "R3" can be -OH. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/12/10 averring '953 teaching combretastatin A-1 and therefore being excluded from the instant claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner has clarified the teachings of '953 and therefore, the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) is considered proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,662 Page 5

Art Unit: 1628

The obviousness double patenting rejection is maintained due to the terminal disclaimer filed 8/12/2010 is disapproved.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 40 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brandon Fetterolf can be reached on (571) 272-2919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,662 Page 6

Art Unit: 1628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

San-ming Hui Primary Examiner Art Unit 1628

/San-ming Hui/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1628